

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER (FPATENTS AND TRADEMARES PO Fox 1450 Alexandra, Virginia, 22313-1459 www.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	HRST NAMED INVENTOR	A FIORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09'747,314	12/22/2000	Jean-Louis Massicu	480062.730	1282
25096 759	06 04 2003			
PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247		FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA		
			ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
			2876	TATER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/747,314	MASSIEU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and all the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by signary and period by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely  HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>08 April 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ✓	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	lowance except for formal matt der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examiner.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority docume  Certified copies of the priority documents.		
<ul><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the properties of the proper</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a) The translation of the foreign language part 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has bee	n received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office  O-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Application Control Number: 09 747,314

Art Unit: 2876

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgment is made of the receipt of the amendment filed on 4/8/03. Claims 1-33 are currently pending.

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 14, and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 1, line 3, delete "capable of".

in claim 14, line 3, delete "capable of", and

in claim 27, line 7, substitute "this" with --the--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(c) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Application/Control Number: 09/747,314

Art Unit: 2876

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Canini et al. (US 6,512,218) (hereinafter referred to as 'Canini').

Canini teaches a data acquisition device with variable shape pixel which operates according to figure 15. A maximum pixel height is initially set; the image is then acquired; the acquired image is processed to extract coded data; it is verified whether reading has been successful; if not, the pixel height is reduced and the image is acquired again (col. 9, lines 12-28). Figures 12a-14c illustrate the pixel array.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Canini reference has been applied to reject independent claims 1, 14, 27, and 31 and dependent claims 2-13, 15-26, 28-30, 32, and 33 which were previously indicated as allowable.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is 703-305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

JAF

May 28, 2003